

ETHICAL E-MARKETING FOR COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE

By


A. K. G. R. D. Attanayake



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

The Dissertation was submitted to the Department of Computer Science & Engineering of the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Business Administration.

Department of Computer Science & Engineering

University of Moratuwa

December 2005

004 "05"
004 : 65 (043)

University of Moratuwa




86342

86342

86342

DECLARATION

"I certify that this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any University to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published, written or orally communicated by another person or myself except where due reference is made in the text. I also hereby give consent for my dissertation, if accepted, to be made available for photocopying and for interlibrary loans, and for the title and summary to be made available to outside organizations"



.....
Signature of the Candidate


16 - 01 - 2006

Date



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

To the best of my knowledge, the above particulars are correct.



.....
Supervisor

Table of Contents

PART I – Research Preparation

1	Introduction.....	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Why I selected this Research Topic.....	3
1.3	Problem Statement.....	4
1.4	Research Objectives.....	5
2	Literature Review.....	6
2.1	What is E-Marketing ?	6
2.2	Types of E-Marketing	7
2.3	Internet Technologies	8
2.4	Ethical Concepts.....	9
2.5	Legal Background.....	12
2.6	Measuring Ethical Interactivity	14
2.7	Measuring Competitive Advantage	17
2.8	Importance and Benefit of the Study	17

PART II – Research Formulation

3	Research Formulation	20
3.1	Formulating Research Objectives.....	20
3.2	Preparing Questionnaire.....	21
3.3	Collection of Data Samples.....	22
4	Sample Characteristics	24
4.1	General Sample Characteristics.....	24
4.2	Local Sample Characteristics	25
4.2.1	Sex.....	26
4.2.2	Age	26
4.2.3	Buying Duration	27
4.2.4	Buying Frequency	27
4.2.5	Average Internet expenditure per month.....	28
4.3	South Asian Sample Characteristics.....	28
4.3.1	Sex.....	29
4.3.2	Age	29
4.3.3	Buying Duration	30
4.3.4	Buying Frequency	30
4.3.5	Average Internet expenditure per month.....	31
4.4	European Sample Characteristics	31
4.4.1	Sex.....	32
4.4.2	Age	32
4.4.3	Buying Duration	33

4.4.4	Buying Frequency	33
4.4.5	Average Internet expenditure per month	34
4.5	North American Sample Characteristics	34
4.5.1	Sex	35
4.5.2	Age	35
4.5.3	Buying Duration	36
4.5.4	Buying Frequency	36
4.5.5	Average Internet expenditure per month	37

PART III – Designing Research Methodology & Data Analysis

5	Effectiveness of Customer Profiling process	39
5.1	Preparing questionnaire	39
5.2	Defining weights	40
5.2.1	Weights on Likert Scale	40
5.2.2	Weights on Indicator Questions	41
5.3	Constructing Equation for Effectiveness	41
5.4	Sample Analysis	42
5.4.1	Local E-Purchasers	42
5.4.2	E-Purchasers of South Asian region	44
5.4.3	E-Purchasers of European Region	45
5.4.4	E-Purchasers of North American Region	46
5.4.5	Effectiveness – Summary	47
6	Ethical E-Marketing for Competitive Advantage	49
6.1	Methodology	49
6.2	Ethical Interactivity	49
6.2.1	Conceptualization	49
6.2.2	Preparing Questionnaire	52
6.2.3	Determining Relative Position Among Other Factors	55
6.3	Competitive Advantage	56
6.3.1	Conceptualization	56
6.3.2	Preparing Questionnaire	57
6.4	Defining Weights	58
6.4.1	Weights on Likert Scale	58
6.4.2	Weights on Indicator Questions	59
6.4.3	Weights on General Attributes	61
6.4.4	Relative Weights on Visible Forms	62
6.4.5	Weights on Response Behaviors	63
6.4.6	Weight on Relative Position of Ethical Interactivity	63
6.5	Construction of Functions	64
6.5.1	Ethical Interactivity	64
6.5.2	Competitive Advantage – Positive Response Behavior	66
6.5.3	Relative importance of EI	66

6.6	Data Analysis – Regression Analysis	67
6.6.1	Local Samples.....	67
6.6.2	South Asian Region	71
6.6.3	European Region.....	75
6.6.4	North American Region	80
6.6.5	Distribution of Ethical Interactivity among e-Purchasers	83
7	Impact of Internet Laws on Unethical E-Marketing Practices.....	87
7.1	Background	87
7.2	Growth and Distribution of Internet Population.....	88
7.3	Growth of unethical practices	89
7.4	Laws affecting Ethical E-Marketing behavior	90
7.5	Countries Position in Setting-up of E-Marketing Laws.....	91
7.6	Laws Affecting E-Mail Marketing	93
7.7	Laws and Practices Against Unethical Web Advertising	98
7.8	Laws Affecting Customer Profiling and Privacy	101
7.9	Laws Affecting online Children Protection	104
7.10	Trends in Unethical Practices.....	108
7.11	Reasons behind unethical practices	109
7.11.1	Immense Competition	109
7.11.2	Creating new market opportunities.....	110
7.11.3	Providing maximum satisfaction to customers.....	110

PART IV – Verifying Objectives

8	Conclusions.....	112
8.1	Effectiveness of Customer Profiling Process.....	112
8.2	Ethical Interactivity for Competitive Advantage	114
8.2.1	Local and South Asian e-Purchasers.....	114
8.2.2	European e-Purchasers	115
8.2.3	North American e-Purchasers.....	116
8.3	Other Findings.....	118
8.3.1	E-Marketing Practices Ethical Analysis.....	118
8.3.2	Reasons behind creating Competitive Advantage via EI.....	118
8.3.3	How Competitive Advantage can be automatically created through EI.....	119
8.4	Impact of Internet Laws on Ethical E-Marketing Practices.....	121
8.5	Designing Ethically Interactive Site.....	124

References and Webliography	127
-----------------------------------	-----

APPENDIX I.....	130
-----------------	-----

APPENDIX II.....	134
------------------	-----

APPENDIX III	139
--------------------	-----

Table of Figures

Figure 1.1: Research topic relation to business and engineering disciplines	3
Figure 2.1: Current online marketing efforts	9
Figure 2.2: Customer sovereignty Vs Intrusiveness	15
Figure 2.3: Measuring Ethical Interactivity	16
Figure 4.1: e-Purchasers Regional Count Chart	24
Figure 4.2: e-Purchasers Country count chart	24
Figure 4.3: e-Purchasers Sex count chart	25
Figure 4.4: Sex count chart – Local e-Purchasers	26
Figure 4.5: Age group chart – Local e-Purchasers	26
Figure 4.6: Buying Duration chart - Local e-Purchasers	27
Figure 4.7: Buying Frequency Chart – Local e-Purchasers	27
Figure 4.8: Monthly Internet Expenditure chart - Local e-Purchasers	28
Figure 4.9: Sex chart – South Asian e-Purchasers	29
Figure 4.10: Age Group chart – South Asian e-Purchasers	29
Figure 4.11: Buying Duration chart – South Asian e-Purchasers	30
Figure 4.12: Buying Frequency chart – South Asian e-Purchasers	30
Figure 4.13: Monthly Internet Expenditure chart – South Asian e-Purchasers	31
Figure 4.14: Sex chart – European e-Purchasers	32
Figure 4.15: Age Group chart – European e-Purchasers	32
Figure 4.16: Buying Duration chart – European e-Purchasers	33
Figure 4.17: Buying Frequency chart – European e-Purchaser	33
Figure 4.18: Monthly Internet Expenditure chart – European e-Purchasers	34
Figure 4.19: Sex chart – North American e-Purchasers	35
Figure 4.19: Age Group chart – North American e-Purchasers	35
Figure 4.20: Buying Duration chart – North American e-Purchasers	36
Figure 4.21: Buying Frequency chart – North American e-Purchasers	36
Figure 4.22: Monthly Internet Expenditure chart – North American e-Purchasers	37
Figure 5.1: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – Local e-Purchasers	44
Figure 5.2: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – South Asian e-Purchasers	44
Figure 5.3: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – European e-Purchasers	45
Figure 5.4: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – North American e-Purchasers	46
Figure 6.1: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI (Local e-Purchasers)	69
Figure 6.2: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI _{WA} (Local e-Purchasers)	69
Figure 6.3: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI _{EM} (Local e-Purchasers)	70
Figure 6.4: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI _{CP} (Local e-Purchasers)	70
Figure 6.5: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI (South Asian e-Purchasers)	72
Figure 6.6: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI _{WA} (South Asian e-Purchasers)	73
Figure 6.7: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI _{EM} (South Asian e-Purchasers)	73
Figure 6.8: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI _{CP} (South Asian e-Purchasers)	74
Figure 6.9: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI (European e-Purchasers)	78
Figure 6.10: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI _{WA} (European e-Purchasers)	79
Figure 6.11: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI _{CP} (European e-Purchasers)	79
Figure 6.12: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI (North American e-Purchasers)	82
Figure 6.13: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI _{CP} (North American e-Purchasers)	82
Figure 6.14: Frequency Distribution of rEI – Local e-Purchasers	83
Figure 6.15: Frequency Distribution of rEI – South Asian e-Purchasers	84
Figure 6.16: Frequency Distribution of rEI – European e-Purchasers	84
Figure 6.17: Frequency Distribution of rEI – North American e-Purchasers	85
Figure 6.18: Frequency Distribution of rEI – Total e-Purchasers	85
Figure 6.19: Frequency Polygon Comparison	86
Figure 7.1: Type of attacks and Misuse of Internet	108

Table of Tables

Table 3.1: Countries Selected form Each Region	22
Table 5.1: Weights on Likert Scale	40
Table 5.2: Weights on Indicator Questions.....	41
Table 5.3: Calculation of Effectiveness in Customer Profiling – Local e-Purchasers.....	42-43
Table 5.4: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – Regional Based.....	47
Table 5.5: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – Based on Age Groups.....	47
Table 5.6: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – Based on Sex.....	47
Table 5.7: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – Based on Buying Duration.....	48
Table 5.8: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – Based on Buying Frequency.....	48
Table 5.9: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – Based on Monthly Internet Expenditure	48
Table 6.1: Conceptualization of Ethical Interactivity.....	51
Table 6.2: Definitions of Indicators.....	54
Table 6.3: Questions based on the EI indicators.....	54
Table 6.4: Determining relative positions among other Purchasing Attributes.....	55
Table 6.5: Conceptualization of Competitive Advantage.....	56
Table 6.6: Response Behaviors.....	57
Table 6.7: Weights on Likert Scale 1.....	58
Table 6.8: Weights on Likert Scale 2.....	58
Table 6.9: Weights assigned - Web Advertising.....	59
Table 6.10: Weights assigned – Email Marketing.....	60
Table 6.11: Weights assigned – Customer Profiling.....	60
Table 6.12: Weights assigned – General Attributes.....	61
Table 6.13: Statistics on E-Mail Survey.....	62
Table 6.14: Weights Assigned – Visible form of E-Marketing.....	62
Table 6.15: Weights on Response Behaviors.....	63
Table 6.16: Calculation of Ethical Interactivity and Response Behavior (Local Samples)	67-68
Table 6.17: Calculation of Ethical Interactivity and Response Behavior (Indian Samples).....	71
Table 6.18: Calculation of Ethical Interactivity and Response Behavior (Pakistan Samples).....	72
Table 6.19: Calculation of Ethical Interactivity and Response Behavior (UK Samples).....	75
Table 6.20: Calculation of Ethical Interactivity and Response Behavior (Netherlands Samples).....	76
Table 6.21: Calculation of Ethical Interactivity and Response Behavior (Sweden Samples).....	76
Table 6.22: Calculation of Ethical Interactivity and Response Behavior (Poland Samples).....	77
Table 6.23: Calculation of Ethical Interactivity and Response Behavior (Canadian Samples).....	80
Table 6.24: Calculation of Ethical Interactivity and Response Behavior (USA Samples).....	81
Table 7.1: Growth of Internet population.....	88
Table 7.2: Distribution of Internet Population.....	89
Table 7.3: Countries with updated Laws.....	92

Acknowledgement

This research report bears the imprint of many people. First of all I would like to give my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Mr. Kithsisri Samarasinghe. This dissertation could not have been written without him who not only served as my supervisor but also encouraged me throughout the research.

Then I would like to give my special thank to Dr. Sanath Jayasena, Mr. Chathura de Silva and Mr. Prasanna Perera Who lectured me on the subject matters related to this research.

Also I want to give special acknowledgment to Dr. Claire Gauzente, Assistant Professor of Marketing and Organization at the University of Angers, France and Dr. Ashok Ranchhod, Professor of Marketing at the Southampton Business School, UK for helping me by providing with models to carry out this research and helping me to clarify issues encountered during the research.

Also I would like to thank Parry Aftab, Esq., Privacy Lawyer, head of Aftab Internet legal consultation firm, who provided me with large amount of Internet legal resources.

Then I would like to thank Mrs. Brigit Scharder – Poland, Mr. Peter Borg – Sweden, Mr. Koert Bontjer – Netherlands, Mr. Baddis Bonkchilli – UK, Mr. Charlie Goldman - USA who coordinated the collection of sample data from each country.

Also I would like to take this opportunity to thank my colleagues, company friends and other people who helped me to fill the research questionnaire and providing me with invaluable suggestions and feedbacks.

Last but not least I like to give special thank to loving wife for helping me throughout this research. This wouldn't have been success without her help.

ABSTRACT

Marketing practice is now busy integrating the potential of information and communication technologies through the utilization of databases and Internet marketing tools. Billions of potential consumers can now be reached this way. Therefore rivalry firms are in a big competition to increase their market share by attracting maximum portion of potential e-Purchaser. A brief observation in the Internet shows that these firms go beyond the ethical limits and adapt unethical and aggressive E-marketing practices to win from the competition.

The observation of marketing practice on the Internet can give an idea of the types of unethical practices that are either already in place or recommended by certain marketing consultancy sites. A simple analysis of many sites highlights two important issues. The first one is concerned with the gathering and selling of consumer information and the second one is concerned with the utilization of various marketing techniques such as pop-up banners, deceiving banners and hyperlinks and other forms of intrusive mechanisms, by which make e-Purchasers discomfort and impinge on their personal privacy. Meanwhile advent of new powerful Internet Technologies and Tools would make the unethical practices to be carried out seamlessly in the Internet.

Whereas the potentialities of ICT are endless, the very interactiveness of the Internet creates new and serious ethical problems reflected by the use of short-term aggressive actions. Given the fact that such powerful tools and techniques will become the norm within two to five years, experts advocate that firms that wish to differentiate themselves from their competitors will have to turn to E-marketing ethics in order to gain and keep consumers. Firms that follow ethical marketing stances are likely to be more **Competitively Advantaged** in the future.

Adhering to these facts the possibility of creating Competitive Advantage through **Ethical Interactivity** in E-Marketing was investigated in this research. The Ethical Interactivity in E-Marketing was evaluated through three visible forms of e-Marketing namely Web Advertising, E-Mail marketing and Customer Profiling. Ethical Interactivity in Web Advertising and E-Mail marketing is judged against three criteria (Nwachukwu model): Consumer sovereignty, Individual Autonomy and Harmfulness of product. To measure the Ethical Interactivity in Customer Profiling process (through information gathering), Gauzente and Ranchhod's seven-factor model (notice, choice, access, contact, security, horizon, and intrusiveness) has been used.

The importance paid to factors in each criterion can reflect the degree of ethical interactivity that the firm is willing to exhibit. In fact correct interpretation of these practices leads to empowered e-Purchasers creating Competitive Advantage for the firm and greater benefits for the e-Purchasers themselves.

The room for creating Competitive Advantage is determined through the intensity of **Positive Response Behavior** of the e-Purchasers towards the **high Ethical Interactivity**. To find out relationship between Ethical Interactivity and the Positive Response Behavior, the research has been carried out separately for Local, South Asian, European and North American e-Purchasers. Positive results found for European and North American regions. Hence there's a possibility in creating Competitive Advantage through Ethical Inactivity in these regions.

Meantime the Effectiveness of the Customer Profiling process was examined too. This process is found to be highly effective among European e-Purchasers. Then the impact by the Internet laws towards the Ethical E-marketing practices was investigated. It was observed that the impact is low on unethical marketing practices due to difficulties in executing such laws (cross country issues) and lack of Internet governing body. In that perspective, this makes the Competitive Advantage through Ethical Interactivity a sustainable one. Finally some of the important factors were determined for designing an ethically interactive site, based on all the research findings.

